

On a Theorem of Métivier

Stefan Fördös
University of Vienna

Obergurgl, August 24, 2020

Preliminaries

$U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$... open set

$P(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$... PDO with analytic coeff. $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(U)$

$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha$... symbol of P

$p_d(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha$... principal symbol of P

Analytic vectors

A distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is an analytic vector of P if

$$\forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 : \|P^k u\|_{L^2(V)} \leq Ch^k (dk)! \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The set of analytic vectors of P is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(U; P)$.

Analytic vectors

A distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ is an analytic vector of P if

$$\forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 : \|P^k u\|_{L^2(V)} \leq Ch^k (dk)! \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The set of analytic vectors of P is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(U; P)$.

Theorem (Kotake, Narasimhan 1962, Komatsu 1962)

Let P be an elliptic operator with analytic coefficients. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(U; P) = \mathcal{A}(U).$$

Gevrey vectors

Let $s \geq 1$. The Gevrey class $\mathcal{G}^s(U)$ is defined by

$$f \in \mathcal{G}^s(U) : \iff \forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \\ \sup_{x \in V} |D^\alpha f(x)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^s.$$

The space of Gevrey vectors is given by

$$u \in \mathcal{G}^s(U; P) : \iff \forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \\ \|P^k u\|_{L^2(V)} \leq Ch^k (dk)!^s.$$

Ultradifferentiable vectors

Weight sequences

$\mathbf{M} = (M_k)_k$ is a weight sequence if $M_0 = 1$,

$$M_k^2 \leq M_{k-1}M_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt[k]{M_k} \longrightarrow \infty.$$

The Denjoy-Carleman class $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{M}\}}(U)$ is defined by

$$f \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{M}\}}(U) : \iff \forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \\ \sup_{x \in V} |D^\alpha f(x)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}.$$

The space of Denjoy-Carleman vectors is given by

$$u \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{M}\}}(U; P) : \iff \forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \\ \|P^k u\|_{L^2(V)} \leq Ch^k M_{dk}.$$

Semiregular weight sequences

A weight sequence \mathbf{M} is semiregular if

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sqrt[k]{M_{k+1}/M_k} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt[k]{M_k/k!} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Semiregular weight sequences

A weight sequence \mathbf{M} is semiregular if

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sqrt[k]{M_{k+1}/M_k} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt[k]{M_k/k!} \rightarrow \infty.$$

q -Gevrey sequences

Let $q > 1$. The sequence \mathbf{N}^q given by $N_k^q = q^{k^2}$ is semiregular.

Semiregular weight sequences

A weight sequence \mathbf{M} is semiregular if

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sqrt[k]{M_{k+1}/M_k} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt[k]{M_k/k!} \rightarrow \infty.$$

q -Gevrey sequences

Let $q > 1$. The sequence \mathbf{N}^q given by $N_k^q = q^{k^2}$ is semiregular.

Theorem (Bolley-Camus-Mattera 1979)

Let \mathbf{M} be a semiregular weight sequence and P be an elliptic differential operator with analytic coefficients. Then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{M}\}}(U; P) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{M}\}}(U).$$

Métivier's Theorem

Theorem (Métivier 1978)

Let $s > 1$ and P be a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

Métivier's Theorem

Theorem (Métivier 1978)

Let $s > 1$ and P be a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. *P is elliptic.*

Métivier's Theorem

Theorem (Métivier 1978)

Let $s > 1$ and P be a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic.
2. $\mathcal{G}^s(U; P) = \mathcal{G}^s(U)$.

Métivier's Theorem

Theorem (Métivier 1978)

Let $s > 1$ and P be a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic.
2. $\mathcal{G}^s(U; P) = \mathcal{G}^s(U)$.

Goal: Generalize Métivier's Theorem to other weight sequences.

Main Theorem

Theorem (F., Schindl 2020)

Let $q > 1$ and P a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic
2. $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U)$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (F., Schindl 2020)

Let $q > 1$ and P a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic
2. $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U)$.

Idea of proof

If P is not elliptic then it is enough to construct for all $q > 1$ a smooth function u such that

Main Theorem

Theorem (F., Schindl 2020)

Let $q > 1$ and P a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic
2. $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U)$.

Idea of proof

If P is not elliptic then it is enough to construct for all $q > 1$ a smooth function u such that

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P)$,

Main Theorem

Theorem (F., Schindl 2020)

Let $q > 1$ and P a differential operator with analytic coefficients in $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. P is elliptic
2. $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U)$.

Idea of proof

If P is not elliptic then it is enough to construct for all $q > 1$ a smooth function u such that

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U; P)$,
- ▶ $u \notin \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^q\}}(U)$.

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

$$P \text{ non-elliptic at } x_0 \implies \exists \xi_0 \in S^{n-1} : p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

$$P \text{ non-elliptic at } x_0 \implies \exists \xi_0 \in S^{n-1} : p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$

- ▶ For each $s > 1$ choose suitable $s_0 < s$, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and $s' > s$.

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

$$P \text{ non-elliptic at } x_0 \implies \exists \xi_0 \in S^{n-1} : p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$

- ▶ For each $s > 1$ choose suitable $s_0 < s$, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and $s' > s$.
- ▶ Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $B_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < 2\delta\} \Subset U$.

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

$$P \text{ non-elliptic at } x_0 \implies \exists \xi_0 \in S^{n-1} : p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$

- ▶ For each $s > 1$ choose suitable $s_0 < s$, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and $s' > s$.
- ▶ Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $B_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < 2\delta\} \Subset U$.
- ▶ Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

Métivier's approach in the Gevrey case

$$P \text{ non-elliptic at } x_0 \implies \exists \xi_0 \in S^{n-1} : p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$$

- ▶ For each $s > 1$ choose suitable $s_0 < s$, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ and $s' > s$.
- ▶ Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $B_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < 2\delta\} \Subset U$.
- ▶ Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

Ansatz

$$u(x) = \int_1^\infty \varphi(t^\varepsilon(x - x_0)) e^{-t^{1/s'}} e^{it\xi_0(x-x_0)} dt.$$

Observations

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$

Observations

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$
- ▶ If we consider derivatives in direction ξ_0 then we obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k e^{-t^{1/s'}} dt.$$

Observations

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$
- ▶ If we consider derivatives in direction ξ_0 then we obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k e^{-t^{1/s'}} dt.$$

- ▶ Hence $D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = s' \Gamma(s'(k+1)) - b_k$, where $b_k = \int_0^1 t^k e^{1/s'} dt \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Observations

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$
- ▶ If we consider derivatives in direction ξ_0 then we obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k e^{-t^{1/s'}} dt.$$

- ▶ Hence $D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = s' \Gamma(s'(k+1)) - b_k$, where $b_k = \int_0^1 t^k e^{1/s'} dt \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ We conclude that $u \notin \mathcal{G}^\tau(U)$ for $\tau < s'$.

Observations

- ▶ $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$
- ▶ If we consider derivatives in direction ξ_0 then we obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k e^{-t^{1/s'}} dt.$$

- ▶ Hence $D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = s' \Gamma(s'(k+1)) - b_k$, where $b_k = \int_0^1 t^k e^{1/s'} dt \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ We conclude that $u \notin \mathcal{G}^\tau(U)$ for $\tau < s'$.

Question: How to define u in the q -Gevrey case?

Hint: $s' \Gamma(s'k) = \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} e^{-t^{1/s'}} dt$ is the Mellin transform of the function $t \mapsto e^{-t^{1/s'}}$.

The q -Gevrey scale

It is convenient to set $\lambda = \log q$, i.e.

$$N_k^q = q^{k^2} = e^{\lambda k^2} = \theta(k, \lambda).$$

The q -Gevrey scale

It is convenient to set $\lambda = \log q$, i.e.

$$N_k^q = q^{k^2} = e^{\lambda k^2} = \theta(k, \lambda).$$

- ▶ We write (in a slight abuse of notation) $\mathbf{N}^\lambda = \mathbf{N}^q$.
- ▶ We can extend $\theta(k, \lambda)$ to the function $\theta(z, \lambda) = e^{\lambda z^2}$.

The q -Gevrey scale

It is convenient to set $\lambda = \log q$, i.e.

$$N_k^q = q^{k^2} = e^{\lambda k^2} = \theta(k, \lambda).$$

- ▶ We write (in a slight abuse of notation) $\mathbf{N}^\lambda = \mathbf{N}^q$.
- ▶ We can extend $\theta(k, \lambda)$ to the function $\theta(z, \lambda) = e^{\lambda z^2}$.
- ▶ $\theta(z, \lambda)$ is the Mellin transform of the function

$$\Theta(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda}\right].$$

The q -Gevrey scale

It is convenient to set $\lambda = \log q$, i.e.

$$N_k^q = q^{k^2} = e^{\lambda k^2} = \theta(k, \lambda).$$

- ▶ We write (in a slight abuse of notation) $\mathbf{N}^\lambda = \mathbf{N}^q$.
- ▶ We can extend $\theta(k, \lambda)$ to the function $\theta(z, \lambda) = e^{\lambda z^2}$.
- ▶ $\theta(z, \lambda)$ is the Mellin transform of the function

$$\Theta(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\lambda}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda}\right].$$

Hence

$$\theta(z, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} \Theta(t, \lambda) dt,$$
$$N_k^\lambda = \int_0^\infty t^{k-1} \Theta(t, \lambda) dt.$$

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before.

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before. For each $\lambda > 0$ choose

- ▶ $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda$, $\lambda' > \lambda$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ to be specified later on,

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before. For each $\lambda > 0$ choose

- ▶ $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda$, $\lambda' > \lambda$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ to be specified later on,
- ▶ $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^{\lambda_0}\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before. For each $\lambda > 0$ choose

- ▶ $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda$, $\lambda' > \lambda$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ to be specified later on,
- ▶ $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^{\lambda_0}\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

We set

$$u(x) = \int_1^\infty \psi(t^\varepsilon(x - x_0)) \Theta(t, \lambda') e^{it(x-x_0)\xi_0} dt$$

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before. For each $\lambda > 0$ choose

- ▶ $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda$, $\lambda' > \lambda$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ to be specified later on,
- ▶ $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^{\lambda_0}\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

We set

$$u(x) = \int_1^\infty \psi(t^\varepsilon(x - x_0)) \Theta(t, \lambda') e^{it(x-x_0)\xi_0} dt$$

and obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k \Theta(t, \lambda') dt = N_{k+1}^{\lambda'} - \int_0^1 t^k \Theta(t, \lambda') dt.$$

Proof of Main Theorem: Part 1

Let $x_0 \in U$, $\xi_0 \in S^{n-1}$ be such that $p_d(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$ and define $\delta > 0$ and B_0 as before. For each $\lambda > 0$ choose

- ▶ $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda$, $\lambda' > \lambda$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ to be specified later on,
- ▶ $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^{\lambda_0}\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 2\delta\}$ and $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \delta$.

We set

$$u(x) = \int_1^\infty \psi(t^\varepsilon(x - x_0)) \Theta(t, \lambda') e^{it(x-x_0)\xi_0} dt$$

and obtain

$$D_{\xi_0}^k u(x_0) = \int_1^\infty t^k \Theta(t, \lambda') dt = N_{k+1}^{\lambda'} - \int_0^1 t^k \Theta(t, \lambda') dt.$$

$\rightsquigarrow u \notin \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^\tau\}}(U)$ for all $\tau < \lambda'$.

The Iterates of P

$$P^k u(x) = \int_1^\infty Q_k(x, t) \Theta(t, \lambda') e^{-it(x-x_0)\xi_0} dt$$

where

$$Q_0(x, t) = \psi(t^\varepsilon(x - x_0))$$

and

$$Q_{k+1}(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, t\xi_0) D_x^\alpha Q_k(x, t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Estimates for Q_k

Theorem

$\exists A > 0$ s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad \forall x \in B_0 \quad \forall t \geq 1:$

$$|D_x^\nu Q_k(x, t)| \leq C_0 (h_0 t^\varepsilon)^{|\nu|} A^k \left[t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} N_{|\nu|}^{\lambda_0} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{|\nu|+dk}^{\lambda_0} \right].$$

Estimates for Q_k

Theorem

$\exists A > 0$ s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad \forall x \in B_0 \quad \forall t \geq 1$:

$$|D_x^\nu Q_k(x, t)| \leq C_0 (h_0 t^\varepsilon)^{|\nu|} A^k \left[t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} N_{|\nu|}^{\lambda_0} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{|\nu|+dk}^{\lambda_0} \right].$$

In particular, if $\nu = 0$ then

$$|Q_k(x, t)| \leq C_0 A^k \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right).$$

Estimates for Q_k

Theorem

$\exists A > 0$ s.t. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad \forall x \in B_0 \quad \forall t \geq 1$:

$$|D_x^\nu Q_k(x, t)| \leq C_0 (h_0 t^\varepsilon)^{|\nu|} A^k \left[t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} N_{|\nu|}^{\lambda_0} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{|\nu|+dk}^{\lambda_0} \right].$$

In particular, if $\nu = 0$ then

$$|Q_k(x, t)| \leq C_0 A^k \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right).$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \left| P^k u(x) \right| \leq C A^k \int_1^\infty \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda'} \right] dt$$

Associated weight functions

If \mathbf{M} is a weight sequence then the associated weight function $\omega_{\mathbf{M}}$ is defined by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{M}}(t) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \log \frac{t^k}{M_k}.$$

In particular

$$t^k \leq M_k e^{\omega_{\mathbf{M}}(t)} \quad (\star)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \geq 0$.

Weight functions

A weight function in the sense of Braun-Meise-Taylor is a continuous and increasing function $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

- ▶ $\omega(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$,
- ▶ $\omega(2t) = O(\omega(t))$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$,
- ▶ $\log t = O(\omega(t))$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$,
- ▶ $\varphi_\omega = \omega \circ \exp$ is convex.

Let $\varphi_\omega^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi_\omega(s))$ be the conjugate function of φ . The ultradifferentiable class associated to ω is given by

$$f \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}(U) : \iff \forall V \Subset U \exists C, h > 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \\ \sup_{x \in V} |D^\alpha f(x)| \leq C e^{h^{-1} \varphi_\omega^*(h|\alpha|)}$$

Weight matrices

A weight matrix \mathfrak{M} is a family of weight sequences such that for all $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N} \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have either $M_k \leq N_k$ for all k or $N_k \leq M_k$ for all k . The ultradifferentiable associated to \mathfrak{M} is defined by

$$f \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}}(U) : \iff \forall V \in U \exists \mathbf{M} \in \mathfrak{M} \exists C, h > 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : \\ \sup_{x \in V} |D^\alpha f(x)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}$$

Weight functions vs. Weight matrices

Let ω be a weight function. The weight matrix $\mathfrak{W} = \{\mathbf{W}^\rho : \rho > 0\}$ associated to ω is defined by

$$W_k^\rho = \exp [\rho^{-1} \varphi_\omega^*(\rho k)] .$$

Then $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}(U) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathfrak{W}\}}(U)$ as topological vector spaces.

Weight functions vs. Weight matrices

Let ω be a weight function. The weight matrix $\mathfrak{W} = \{\mathbf{W}^\rho : \rho > 0\}$ associated to ω is defined by

$$W_k^\rho = \exp[\rho^{-1} \varphi_\omega^*(\rho k)].$$

Then $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}(U) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathfrak{W}\}}(U)$ as topological vector spaces.

Lemma

Let ω be a weight function and $\mathfrak{W} = \{\mathbf{W}^\rho : \rho > 0\}$ the weight matrix associated to ω . Then

$$\omega_{\mathbf{W}^\rho}(t) \leq \frac{\omega(t)}{\rho} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall \rho > 0.$$

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.
 $\Rightarrow \varphi_2(t) = \omega_2 \circ \exp(t) = t^2$.

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2(t) = \omega_2 \circ \exp(t) = t^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi_2(s)) = t^2/4.$$

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2(t) = \omega_2 \circ \exp(t) = t^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi_2(s)) = t^2/4.$$

Let $\mathfrak{W}^2 = \{\mathbf{W}^{2,\rho}, \rho > 0\}$ be the weight matrix associated to ω_2 .

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2(t) = \omega_2 \circ \exp(t) = t^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi_2(s)) = t^2/4.$$

Let $\mathfrak{W}^2 = \{\mathbf{W}^{2,\rho}, \rho > 0\}$ be the weight matrix associated to ω_2 . Then

$$\mathbf{N}^\lambda = \mathbf{W}^{2,4\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

An example

We consider the weight function $\omega_2(t) = (\max\{0, \log t\})^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2(t) = \omega_2 \circ \exp(t) = t^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_2^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi_2(s)) = t^2/4.$$

Let $\mathfrak{W}^2 = \{\mathbf{W}^{2,\rho}, \rho > 0\}$ be the weight matrix associated to ω_2 . Then

$$\mathbf{N}^\lambda = \mathbf{W}^{2,4\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Hence

$$\omega_{\mathbf{N}^\lambda}(t) \leq \frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda}, \quad \forall t \geq 1, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (\diamond)$$

Final estimates I

Set $r = t^{1-\varepsilon/d}$, $R = t^{\varepsilon(2-1/d)}$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda - \lambda_0 > 0$.

Final estimates I

Set $r = t^{1-\varepsilon/d}$, $R = t^{\varepsilon(2-1/d)}$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda - \lambda_0 > 0$.
 \rightsquigarrow (\star) and $(\diamond) \Rightarrow$

$$t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} = r^{dk} \leq N_{dk}^\lambda \exp \left[\frac{(d-\varepsilon)^2 (\log t)^2}{d^2 \lambda} \frac{1}{4} \right]$$
$$t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} = R^{dk} \leq N_{dk}^{\lambda_1} \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon^2 (2d-1)^2 (\log t)^2}{d^2 \lambda_1} \frac{1}{4} \right]$$

Fixing the constants

For fixed $\lambda > 0$ choose ε and $\lambda_0 < \lambda$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{d\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_0}}{\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_0} + \sqrt{\lambda}(2d - 1)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Fixing the constants

For fixed $\lambda > 0$ choose ε and $\lambda_0 < \lambda$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{d\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_0}}{\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_0} + \sqrt{\lambda}(2d - 1)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{\varepsilon^2(2d - 1)^2}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)d^2} \leq \frac{(d - \varepsilon)^2}{\lambda d^2}$$

Final estimates II

The integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^\infty \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda'} \right] dt \\ & \leq N_{dk}^\lambda \int_1^\infty \exp \left[\frac{(\log t)^2}{4} \left(\frac{(d-\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda d^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda'} \right) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

converges for

$$\lambda' < \frac{d^2}{(d-\varepsilon)^2} \lambda.$$

Final estimates II

The integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^\infty \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda'} \right] dt \\ & \leq N_{dk}^\lambda \int_1^\infty \exp \left[\frac{(\log t)^2}{4} \left(\frac{(d-\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda d^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda'} \right) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

converges for

$$\lambda' < \frac{d^2}{(d-\varepsilon)^2} \lambda.$$

$$\implies \|P^k u\|_{L^2(B_0)} \leq CA^k N_{dk}^\lambda$$

Final estimates II

The integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^\infty \left(t^{(d-\varepsilon)k} + t^{(2d-1)k\varepsilon} N_{dk}^{\lambda_0} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{(\log t)^2}{4\lambda'} \right] dt \\ & \leq N_{dk}^\lambda \int_1^\infty \exp \left[\frac{(\log t)^2}{4} \left(\frac{(d-\varepsilon)^2}{\lambda d^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda'} \right) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

converges for

$$\lambda' < \frac{d^2}{(d-\varepsilon)^2} \lambda.$$

$$\implies \|P^k u\|_{L^2(B_0)} \leq CA^k N_{dk}^\lambda$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{D}(B_0)$ we have shown that $u \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{N}^\lambda\}}(U; P)$.